

# What is the Scientific Method?

Martyn Shuttleworth, Lyndsay T Wilson 269.2K reads

Since the 17<sup>th</sup> century, the scientific method has been the gold standard for investigating the natural world. It is how scientists correctly arrive at new knowledge, and update their previous knowledge. It consists of systematic observation, measurement, experiment, and the formulation of questions or hypotheses.

## What is the Scientific Method?

1. **Formulate hypothesis**
2. **Collect data**
3. **Test hypotheses**
4. **Conclude**

## 1. Formulate Question/Hypothesis

1. Define the Research Question
2. Review the Literature
3. Create a Hypothesis

## 2. Collect Data

1. Preparation: Make the Hypothesis Testable (Operationalization)
2. Preparation: Design the Study
3. Conduct the Experiment or Observation

## 3. Test Hypothesis

1. Organize the Data
2. Analyse the Results
3. Check if the Results Support or disprove the Hypothesis

## 4. Conclusion

1. Look for Other Possible Explanations
2. Generalize to the Real World
3. Suggestions to Further Research

## The Scientific Method - Steps

Image not found or type unknown

What is the best way to uncover objective truths about the world we live in?

Why do we accept some ideas as objective fact while others are more up for debate?

***The answer is the scientific method.***

Imagine that you are living in the 1600s, and wonder why you sometimes see maggots in decaying food. Where do they come from?

You may ask around and discover that the experts of the day believe living organisms sometimes emerge from inanimate materials. In fact, this theory, called “spontaneous generation”, was popular for around 2000 years, and famously expounded by Aristotle. However, it was wrong.

It was not till 1859 that Louis Pasteur’s important experiment disproved this theory and paved the way for a better one, the theory of “biogenesis”, which is still subscribed to today.

Simply making an observation and formulating a possible explanation is not scientific.

Instead, the scientific method is a comprehensive process that ensures that scientists have the best chance of arriving at the objective truth about a phenomenon. Pasteur had a question, formulated a hypothesis, devised a sound experiment to test it, then applied logic to interpret his results.



The banner features a bright orange background. At the top center is a white icon of a beaker with a flame above it, followed by the word "EXPLORABLE" in a white, sans-serif font. Below this, the phrase "Quiz Time!" is written in a white, cursive font. At the bottom, there are three white-bordered boxes. The first box contains a photo of red roller skates on a wooden deck, with the text "Quiz: Psychology 101 Part 2" below it. The second box contains a photo of several colorful pencils fanned out, with the text "Quiz: Psychology 101 Part 2" below it. The third box contains a photo of a Ferris wheel at sunset, with the text "Quiz: Flags in Europe" below it. To the right of these boxes is a white arrow pointing right with the text "See all quizzes =>" in orange.

## Empirical vs. Rational

How do you *know* that the sky is blue?

Because you can see it!

Observation is humankind’s most natural method of gathering data about the world, and we do this via sensory experience, for example sight.

**Empirical observation is the gathering of data using only information that is directly or**

**indirectly available to our senses.**

Empirical observation is the foundation of any experiment, and so forms a crucial part of the scientific method.

What characterizes empirical evidence is that it uses objective observable data, as opposed to opinion or anecdote, to concisely answer a research question. Empirical evidence is always the same, regardless of who the observer is. For example, anybody can look at a thermometer and observe that it reads 10 °C, but many different observers may stand in a room and claim it's "very cold" or "only somewhat cold." The former is an empirical observation, the latter is simply opinion.

Science relies heavily on observation and [measurement](#) [1], and the vast majority of research involves some type of practical [experimentation](#) [2].

This can be anything from measuring the Doppler Shift of a distant galaxy to handing out [questionnaires](#) [3] in a shopping center and observing the kinds of responses you get.

The kind of knowledge you arrive at this way is termed *a posteriori*, meaning it's gained after experience.

In contrast, a different kind of knowledge is *a priori*, which means it comes before, or independent of any observation.

**The rationalist approach to gathering knowledge says that truth can be found by reasoning and argument alone.**

Such knowledge is inductive and developed from first principles. Though this approach to gaining knowledge is invaluable, it is empirical research and the rigors of the scientific method that are most expected in true scientific research.

## Induction vs. Deduction

The scientific method doesn't end after the results are obtained. Collecting, analyzing, interpreting and integrating data is part of the process.

In other words, how can the findings be related to what is already known?

The logic behind research design is the framework that allows us to make meaning of the results we've observed. Scientists must pay careful attention to the reasoning behind their methods if they wish to make meaningful analyses of results. There are two main methods of reasoning:

**Deductive: Ending up at a conclusion based on the inherent logic of an argument. Moves from the more general to the more specific.**

**Inductive: Ending up at a conclusion based on a set of observations. The conclusion can be either more or less probable, based on the strength of the evidence. More evidence can always be gathered. Moves from the more specific to the more general.**

You may notice that the first corresponds to a rational approach, and the second to an empirical approach.

Consider deductive reasoning and the following argument:

- *All men are mortal.*

- *Sherlock Holmes is a man.*
- *Therefore, Sherlock Holmes is mortal.*

Provided that each premise above is true, we know *for certain* that the conclusion is true. This has nothing to do with our knowledge of men, or Sherlock Holmes. We simply need to look at the inherent logic of the argument to see that the conclusion is true.

Consider another example. When Sherlock Holmes gathers clues about a mystery, he may form a theory about what happened. Though he can never *prove* his theory, the more clues he has and the stronger his evidence, the more probable his theory is likely to be. He is using inductive reasoning.

Now, because scientific research favors empirical evidence and the scientific method, it also favors inductive reasoning. This means that whenever research is conducted, it never proves or disproves something once and for all, but only *adds evidence* in support of an inductive argument. The stronger our specific evidence, the stronger generalizations we can make.

This process of [induction](#) [4] and [generalization](#) [5] allows scientists to make predictions about how they think that something should behave, and [design an experiment](#) [6] to test it.

## The Scientific Method Relies on Data

The scientific method formalizes its observation by taking [measurement](#) [1]s, analyzing the results, then feeding these findings back into theories of what we know about the world. There are two major kinds of data: [quantitative](#) [7] and [qualitative](#) [8].

Quantitative data measures an aspect of the real world in quantifiable terms, i.e. numbers. Qualitative data observes the *qualities* of natural phenomena, such as opinions and motivations.

For example, opinions about the beauty of a particular human face is qualitative data, while data about the distance in millimeters between various facial features is quantitative.

Quantitative measurements are generally associated with what are known as 'hard' sciences, such as physics, chemistry and astronomy. They can be gained through [experimentation](#) [9] or through observation.

### For Example:

- At the end of the experiment, 50% of the bacteria in the sample treated with penicillin were left alive.
- The experiment showed that the moon is 384403 km away from the earth.
- The pH of the solution was 7.1.

As a rule of thumb, a quantitative measurement usually has an SI or SI derived unit. Percentages and numbers fall into this category.

Qualitative measurements are more associated with 'softer' or social sciences. However, such data can undergo numerical manipulation or scaling to transform it into quantitative data.

As an example, a social scientist may open-endedly interview drug addicts in a series of case studies to give a deeper understanding of their day to day lives. The data gathered gives a richer knowledge of the aspects of drug use that numbers may not be able to capture.

### For Example:

- The researcher noted recurrent themes of alienation in subjects' responses.
- Participants had an external locus of control and a generally pessimistic outlook.

However, if the social scientist performs some sort of [manipulation](#) [10] on this data, such as devising a numerical scale to assess pessimism via response to specific questions, then he generates quantitative results.

### **For Example:**

- On average, the subjects showed an anxiety level of four.
- 91% of respondents stated that they preferred Hershey bars.

Measuring anxiety, preference, pain and aggression on scales are some examples of qualitative concepts measured quantitatively.

Both types of data are extremely important for understanding the world around us and the majority of scientists use both types of data.

A medical researcher might [design experiments](#) [6] to test the effectiveness of a drug, using a [placebo](#) [11] to contrast. However, she might also perform in depth case studies on a few of the subjects to assess their personal experiences taking the medication.

Qualitative research can go hand in hand with quantitative, for example a qualitative study can later suggest research questions for further quantitative research, or vice versa.

## **Systematic and Methodical**

Scientists are conservative in how they approach results and they are naturally skeptical.

It takes more than one [experiment](#) [2] to change the way they think, however convincing the headlines are, and any results must be retested and [repeated](#) [12] until a solid body of evidence is built up. This process ensures that researchers do not make mistakes or purposefully manipulate evidence.

Over time, the scientific method can improve on even the most accepted theories, or bring into being completely new ones. This is called a [paradigm shift](#) [13], and is an integral part of the scientific method. Most groundbreaking research, such as Einstein's Relativity or [Mendel's Genetics](#) [14], causes a titanic shift in the prevailing scientific thought.

Reasoning Cycle - Scientific Research

Image not found or type unknown

## **Summary**

The scientific method has evolved, over many centuries, to ensure that scientists make meaningful discoveries, founded upon logical hypotheses that can be tested empirically.

The exact process varies between scientific disciplines, but they all follow the above principle of [observe](#) [15] - [predict](#) [16] - [test](#) [17] - [generalize](#) [5].

## **How Strictly Does Science Follow These Rules?**

Apart from a few strictly defined physical sciences, most scientific disciplines have to bend and adapt these rules, especially sciences involving the unpredictability of natural organisms and humans.

Any scientist should have a good understanding of the underlying principles. If you are going to bend and

adapt the rules, you need to understand the rules in the first place.

---

**Source URL:** <https://m.explorable.com/what-is-the-scientific-method?gid=1583>

### **Links**

- [1] <https://explorable.com/scientific-measurements>
- [2] <https://explorable.com/experimental-research>
- [3] <https://explorable.com/survey-research-design>
- [4] <https://explorable.com/inductive-reasoning>
- [5] <https://explorable.com/what-is-generalization>
- [6] <https://explorable.com/design-of-experiment>
- [7] <https://explorable.com/quantitative-research-design>
- [8] <https://explorable.com/qualitative-research-design>
- [9] <https://explorable.com/conducting-an-experiment>
- [10] <https://explorable.com/independent-variable>
- [11] <https://explorable.com/placebo-effect>
- [12] <https://explorable.com/reproducibility>
- [13] <https://explorable.com/paradigm-shift>
- [14] <https://explorable.com/law-of-segregation>
- [15] <https://explorable.com/scientific-observation>
- [16] <https://explorable.com/prediction-in-research>
- [17] <https://explorable.com/hypothesis-testing>